	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	**Appendix B: Emissions Calculations**							
2	**Summary of Modification**							
3								
4	**Company Name:**	**MGPI of Indiana, LLC**						
5	**Address:**	**7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025**						
6	**Significant Source Modification No.:**	**0296-35496-00005**						
7	**Significant Permit Modification No.:**	**029-35505-00005**						
8	**Reviewer:**	**Kristen Willoughby**						
9	**Date:**	**12/22/2014**						
10								
11	**Uncontrolled Potential to Emit (tons/yr)**							
12	**Emission Unit**	**PM**	**PM10**	**PM2.5 ***	**SO_2**	**NOx**	**VOC**	**CO**
13	One (1) DDG Dryer, identifed as EU-39	418.77	418.77	418.77	18.84	27.86	418.77	464.28
14	Wet Pad (EU-40)	-	-	-	-	-	0.89	-
15	2 Screw Conveyors, 1 Drag Conveyor, 3 Product Conveyors, 1 K-Valve	2.55	1.42	0.24	-	-	-	-
16	**Total**	**421.32**	**420.19**	**419.01**	**18.84**	**27.86**	**419.66**	**464.28**
17	** PM2.5 listed is direct PM2.5*							

	I
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	**Total HAPs**
13	39.36
14	0.04
15	-
16	**39.40**
17	

Appendix B: Emissions Calculations

Summary of Emissions

Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC

Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025

Significant Source Modification No.: 0296-35496-00005

Significant Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005

Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby

Date: 12/22/14

	Emissions (ton/yr)								
	Process/Emission Unit	**PM**	**PM10**	**PM2.5**	**SO2**	**NOx**	**VOC**	**CO**	**GHG**
	PTE (New Units)								
	DDG Dryer (EU-39)	8.38	8.38	8.38	18.84	27.86	8.38	46.43	27,473
	Wet Pad (EU-40)	-	-	-	-	-	0.89	-	-
	PTE	**8.38**	**8.38**	**8.38**	**18.84**	**27.86**	**9.27**	**46.43**	**27,473**
	Actual to Potential (DDG Cooler and Transport System EU-32)								
	Baseline	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	0.00	-	-
	PTE	7.91	5.01	2.01	-	-	9.16	-	-
	Emissions Increase (ATPA)	**7.91**	**5.01**	**2.01**	**-**	**-**	**9.16**	-	-
	Actual to Projected Actual (EU-32 Rotary Dryers)								
	Baseline	21.45	21.45	21.45	-	-	635.51	-	-
	Projected Actuals	19.85	19.85	19.85	-	-	587.94	-	-
	Emissions Increase (ATPA)	**<0**	**<0**	**<0**	**-**	**-**	**<0**	-	-
	Hybrid Test								
	Total PTE New Units	8.38	8.38	8.38	18.84	27.86	9.27	46.43	27,473
	Total Emissions Increase from ATPA	7.91	5.01	2.01	-	-	9.16	-	-
	Hybrid Test Emissions Increase	**16.29**	**13.38**	**10.39**	**18.84**	**27.86**	**18.42**	**46.43**	**27472.88**
	PSD Significant Threshold	**25**	**15**	**10**	**40**	**40**	**40**	**100**	**75,000**

PM2.5 Net Emissions (ton/yr)	
Emissions Increase from ATPA	**10.39**
Contemporaneous Netting	
EU-32 Rotary Dryers - Baseline	21.45
EU-32 Rotary Dryers - Projected Actuals	19.85
Project Reductions - EU-32 Rotary Dryers	**-1.61**
AA 029-32386-00005 (issued 12/17/12) - add 3 boilers	
3 Boilers - Baseline	0.00
3 Boilers - Projected Actual	0.41
Projected Increases from 3 Boilers	**0.41**

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
45	*Renewal T029-32119-00005 (issued 06/20/14) - remove 3*								
46	3 Boilers - Baseline	0.00							
47	3 Boilers - Projected Actual	-0.41							
48	Projected Decrease from 3 Boilers	**-0.41**							
49	**Emissions Increase**	8.78							
50	**PSD Significant Threshold**	10							
51									
52	Note: Baseline emissions for the DDG Cooler and Transport System are assumed to be zero. The transport system has new units being added.								
53	MGPI's production is bottlenecked at the existing stills which are not being modified. Any increase in production could have been accommodated with the existing dryers.								

Cell: B37
Comment: jlacker:
you need to show the baseline to projected actuals for all (+)/(-) and document the baseline year.

KW - done

Appendix B: Emissions Calculations
DDG Dryer (EU-39)

Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC
Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 470
Significant Source Modification No.: 0296-35496-00005
Significant Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005
Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby
Date: 12/22/2014

Combustion Source	Hourly MMBtu/hr	Annual MMBtu/yr	Heat Content (Btu/scf)	Fuel Usage (MMcf/yr)
Direct-fired Dryer Heat Input Capacity[a]	45	394,200	1,020	386.47
RTO Heat Input Capacity[a]	8	70,080	1,020	68.71
Total Heat Input Capacity	53	464,280		455.18

Production Capacity	ton/hr	ton/yr
Short-term Distiller's Dry Grain (DDG) Production[b]	9.56	83,754

	Pollutant	Control Efficiency
Control Efficiency For Criteria Emissions (% Removal)[c]	HAPs	97%
	VOC	98%
	CO	90%
	$PM/PM_{10}/PM_{2.5}$	98%

Emissions From DDG Drying (EU-39)	Pollutant	NOx		CO		SO_2		VOC	
	Uncontrolled Emission Factor	0.12 lbs/MMBtu		2.0 lbs/MMBtu		0.45 lbs/ton DDG		10.0 lbs/ton DDG	
	Units	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy
Uncontrolled PTE		6.36	27.86	106.00	464.28	4.30	18.84	95.61	418.77
Controlled PTE		-	-	10.60	46.43	-	-	1.91	8.38

HAP Emissions From DDG Drying (EU-39)	Pollutant	Acetaldehyde		Formaldehyde		Acrolein		Methanol	
	Uncontrolled Emission	0.5 lbs/ton DDGS		0.31 lbs/ton DDGS		0.01 lbs/ton DDGS		0.11 lbs/ton DDGS	
	Units	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy
Uncontrolled PTE		4.78	20.94	2.96	12.98	0.10	0.42	1.05	4.61
Controlled PTE		0.14	0.63	0.09	0.39	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.14

MGPI of Indiana, LLC — **DDG Dryer (EU-39) Continued**

7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025

	Combustion HAPs - Organics					
	Benzene	Dichlorobenzene	Formaldehyde	Hexane	Toluene	**Total - Organics**
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	Included Above	1.8E+00	3.4E-03	
Potential Emission in tons/yr	4.779E-04	2.731E-04		4.097E-01	7.738E-04	**4.112E-01**

	Combustion HAPs - Metals					
	Lead	Cadmium	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel	**Total - Metals**
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	5.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.4E-03	3.8E-04	2.1E-03	
Potential Emission in tons/yr	1.138E-04	2.503E-04	3.186E-04	8.648E-05	4.779E-04	**1.247E-03**

Notes: Design heat inputs of direct fired dryer and of thermal oxidizer provided by the manufacturer (ICM, Inc.).

(a) Maximum short-term distiller's dry grain (DDG) production rate taken from facility information. Capacity of proposed system will be equivalent to cor

(b)

	(lb/hr)	%solids
Dryer feed	35,508	35.5%
Water / Evaporation	21,508	0%
DDG Production	14,000	90%

Annual operations assume that the proposed dryer will operate at capacity continuously throughout the year.

Dryer uncontrolled emission factors and cyclone/thermal oxidizer control efficiencies provided by the manufacturer (ICM, Inc.). Assume PM/PM_{10} e

(c) Dryer uncontrolled emission factors and thermal oxidizer control efficiencies provided by the manufacturer (ICM, Inc.). Emission factors for specific

Methodology:

(d) NOx and CO:

Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu) x Design Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr)]

Uncontrolled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu) x Design Firing Rate (MMBtu/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]

SO2:

Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]

Uncontrolled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]

VOC, PM/PM10/PM2.5:

Controlled PTE (lb/hr) = [Controlled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]

Controlled PTE (ton/yr) = [Controlled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]

Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) x (1 - Control Efficiency)]

Uncontrolled PTE (tpy) = [Uncontrolled PTE (tpy) x (1 - Control Efficiency)]

HAPs (lb/ton emission factor):

Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]

Uncontrolled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]

Controlled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Rate (lb/hr) x (1 - Control Efficiency)]

Controlled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Rate (ton/yr) x (1-Control Efficiency)]

HAPs (lb/MMcf emission factor):

Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

MGPI of Indiana, LLC — **DDG Dryer (EU-39) Continued**

7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025

Greenhouse Gas Calculations

	Greenhouse Gas		
	CO2	CH4	N2O
Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	120,000	2.3	2.2
Potential Emission in tons/yr	27,311	0.52	0.50
Summed Potential Emissions in tons/yr	27,312		
CO2e Total in tons/yr	27,473		

Methodology

The N2O Emission Factor for uncontrolled is 2.2. The N2O Emission Factor for low NOx burner is 0.64.
Emission Factors are from AP 42, Table 1.4-2 SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03.
Global Warming Potentials (GWP) from Table A-1 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart A.
Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton
CO2e (tons/yr) = CO2 Potential Emission ton/yr x CO2 GWP (1) + CH4 Potential Emission ton/yr x CH4 GWP (25) + N2O

	L	M	N	O	P	Q
1						
2						
3						
4						
5	**25**					
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25	**PM**		**PM_{10}**		**$PM_{2.5}$**	
26	**10.0**		**10.0**		**10.0**	
27	**lbs/ton DDG**		**lbs/ton DDG**		**lbs/ton DDG**	
28	**lbs/hr**	**tpy**	**lbs/hr**	**tpy**	**lbs/hr**	**tpy**
29	95.61	418.77	95.61	418.77	95.61	418.77
30	1.91	8.38	1.91	8.38	1.91	8.38
31						
32	**Total HAP (from Natural Gas Combustion)**		**Total HAP Emissions[(e)]**			
33	**See Below**					
34						
35	**lbs/hr**	**tpy**	**lbs/hr**	**tpy**		
36	0.09	0.41	8.99	39.36		
37	2.82E-03	0.01	0.27	1.18		

	L	M	N	O	P	Q
38		**Significant Source Modificaiton No.:**	**0296-35276-00005**			
39		**Significant Permit Modification No.:**	**T029-32119-00005**			
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						
48						
49						
50						
51						
52						
53						
54						
55						
56						
57						
58	nbined capacity of the existing steam-tube dryers (portion of existing EU-32). Material balance is as follows:					
59						
60						
61						
62						
63						
64	missions are equivalent. Under the Part 70 Permit Program particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM_{10}), is considered a "regulated					
65	HAPs include both process emissions from the DDG drying operations and natural gas combustion emissions occurring within the direct-fired dryer. Emission factors include emissions					
66						
67						
68						
69						
70						
71						
72						
73						
74						
75						
76	Highlighted equations are not correct. Suggest replacing VOC, PM equations with the equations used for HAP.					
77						
78						
79						
80						
81						
82						
83						
84						
85						

	L	M	N	O	P	Q
86	**Significant Source Modificaiton No.:**	**0296-35276-00005**				
87	**Significant Permit Modification No.:**	**T029-32119-00005**				
88						
89						
90						
91						
92						
93						
94						
95						
96						
97						
98						
99						
100						
101						
102						
103						
104						
105						
106						
107						
108						
109						
110						

Appendix B: Emissions Calculations
Wet Pad (EU-40)

Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC
Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025
Significant Source Modification No.: 0296-35496-00005
Significant Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005
Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby
Date: 12/22/2014

Emission Unit	Emission Point[a]	Uncontrolled Emission Factors[b] Dryer Feed[c] (ton/hr)	Dryer Feed[c] (ton/yr)	0.0083 lb/ton wet cake VOC[d] (lb/hr)	VOC[d] (ton/yr)	0.0001 lb/ton wet cake Acetaldehyde[d] (lb/hr)	Acetaldehyde[d] (ton/yr)	0.00002 lb/ton wet cake Acrolein[d] (lb/hr)	Acrolein[d] (ton/yr)	0.0002 lb/ton wet cake Formaldehyde[d] (lb/hr)	Formaldehyde[d] (ton/yr)	0.00004 lb/ton wet cake Methanol[d] (lb/hr)	Methanol[d] (ton/yr)	Total Emissions (lb/hr)
EU-40	Wet Cake Production, Storage, and Loadout	24.56	215,154	0.20	0.89	0.002	0.0108	0.0005	0.0022	0.005	0.022	0.001	0.0043	0.009

Notes:
(a) VOC and HAP emissions can result during periods of dryer start-up and shutdown, when the dryer throughput may be diverted to a wet pad so that wet feed is not sent to dry storage.
(b) Emission factor for wet cake taken from a similar operation permitted in Indiana under Permit #T095-30443-00127 (POET Biorefining - Alexandria).
(c) Hourly dryer feed is maximum as taken from the material balance provided by ICM dated 1/30/2015.
(d) Methodology and Sample Calculations:
Emission rate (lb/hr) = Dryer Feed (ton/hr) X Wet Cake Emission factor (lb/ton)
Emission rate (ton/yr) = Dryer Feed (ton/yr) X Wet Cake Emission factor (lb/ton) x ton/2,000 lb

	R	S	T
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11	**l HAP**		
12	**ssions**		
13			
14	**(ton/yr)**		
15	0.0387		Corrected link for Methanol lb/hr emissions
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			

Appendix B: Emissions Calculations

DDG Cooler and Transport System Projected Emission Estimates (EU-32)

Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC
Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025
Significant Source Modification No.: 0296-35496-00005
Significant Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005
Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby
Date: 12/22/2014

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Description	Stack ID	Uncontrolled PM Emission Factor (lb/ton)
EU-32	4 Screw Conveyors, 2 Drag Conveyors, 3 Product Conveyors, 1 K-Valve	Grain Conveying	S-310	0.061
	Drum Cooler	Grain Conveying	NA	0.061

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Description	Stack ID	Controlled PM Emission Factor (lb/ton)
EU-32	Hammer Mill	Hammer Milling[(b)]	S-310	0.067

Methodology:

(a) Factors taken from AP-42, Fifth Edition, Volume 1, Section 9.9.1 (Grain Elevators and Processes).

(b) As recommended by AP-42 Appendix B.2, Table B.2.2 for Category 7 - "Grain Processing" on Page 17, the particle size distribution for PM_{10} is 61% of Total PM and for $PM_{2.5}$ is 23% of Tot

PM Size Range	Uncontrolled wt%	Collection Efficiency	Controlled Wt	Controlled wt%
$PM_{2.5}$	23%	80%	0.046	54%
$PM_{2.5}$ to PM_{10}	38%	95%	0.019	22%
PM_{10} and higher	39%	95%	0.0195	23%
	1		0.0845	
		Overall control:	91.6%	

(c) Methodology:

Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]
Uncontrolled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]
Controlled PTE Hammermill (lb/hr) = [Controlled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]
Controlled PTE Hammermill (ton/yr) = [Controlled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]
Uncontrolled PTE Hammermill (lb/hr) = Controlled PTE Hammermill (lb/hr) / (1 - 85%)
Uncontrolled PTE Hammermill (ton/yr) = Controlled PTE Hammermill (ton/yr) / (1 - 85%)

MGPI of Indiana, LLC
7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Description	Uncontrolled Emission Factors[a] DDG throughput (ton/hr)	DDG throughput (ton/yr)
EU-32	Drum Cooler	Cooling Drum Apparatus	9.56	83,754
	Existing Screw Conveyor	Grain Conveying		
	New 3 Screw Conveyors, 2 Drag Conveyors, 3 Product Conveyors, 1 K-Valve	Grain Conveying		
	Existing Hammer Mill and Cyclone	Hammer Milling		

Methodology:

(a) VOC emission factor for DDG cooling taken from a similar operation permitted in Indiana under Permit #T169-31191-00068 (POET Biorefining - North Manchester). HAP emission factors

(b) Methodology:

Emission rate (lb/hr) = DDG Throughput (ton/hr) X DDG Cooling Emission factor (lb/ton)

Emission rate (ton/yr) = DDG Throughput (ton/yr) X DDG Cooling Emission factor (lb/ton) x ton/2,000 lb

Dryer emissions

	tpy from Drying	% of VOC
VOC	8.38	--
Acetaldehyde	0.63	7.50%
Acrolein	0.01	0.15%
Formaldehyde	0.39	4.65%
Methanol	0.14	1.65%

Other DDG Cooler Emission Factors

POET Biorefining - N Manchester

5.685	lb VOC/hr	From June 2004 testing at POET-Biorefining Jewell (IA)
26	ton DDG/hr	
0.218653846	lb VOC / ton DDG	

	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
1									
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11	**Uncontrolled PM_{10} Emission Factor**	**Uncontrolled $PM_{2.5}$ Emission Factor**	**DDG throughput**		**Uncontrolled PM Emission Rate**		**Uncontrolled PM_{10} Emission Rate**		
12	**(lb/ton)**	**(lb/ton)**	**(ton/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**
13	0.034	0.0058	9.56	83,754	0.58	2.55	0.33	1.42	0.06
14	0.034	0.0058			0.58	2.55	0.33	1.42	0.06
15				**Totals**	**1.17**	**5.11**	**0.65**	**2.85**	**0.11**
16									
17	**Controlled PM_{10} Emission Factor**	**Controlled $PM_{2.5}$ Emission Factor**	**DDG throughput**		**Controlled PM Emission Rate**		**Controlled PM_{10} Emission Rate**		
18	**(lb/ton)**	**(lb/ton)**	**(ton/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**
19	0.052	0.036	9.56	83,754	0.64	2.81	0.49	2.16	0.35
20				**Totals**	**0.64**	**2.81**	**0.49**	**2.16**	**0.35**
21									
22									
23									
24	al PM for uncontrolled emissions. Additionally, AP-42 Appendix B.2, Table B.2.3 "Typical Collection Efficiencies of Various Particulate Control Devices" states that								
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30	Calculated overall control of 91.6% is not used in calculations. Have defaulted to 95%.								
31									
32									
33									
34									
35									
36									
37									

	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
38	**Cooler Emissions (Continued)**								
39									
40									
41									
42	**0.219**		**0.016**		**0.00033**		**0.010**		
43	**lb/ton DDG**		**lbs/ton DDG**		**lbs/ton DDG**		**lbs/ton DDG**		
44	**VOC**		**Acetaldehyde**		**Acrolein**		**Formaldehyde**		
45	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**
46									
47	2.09	9.16	0.16	0.69	0.0031	0.014	0.10	0.43	0.034
48									
49									
50									
51									
52	are derived as a percentage of the VOC emission factor presented, assuming that individual HAPs are emitted in the same proportion from cooling as from the drying emiss								
53									
54									
55									
56									
57									
58									
59									
60									
61									
62									
63									
64									
65									
66									
67									
68									
69									

	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11	**Uncontrolled $PM_{2.5}$ Emission Rate**	**Controlled PM Emission Rate**		**Controlled PM_{10} Emission Rate**		**Controlled $PM_{2.5}$ Emission Rate**		
12	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	
13	0.24	0.09	0.38	0.05	0.21	0.01	0.04	
14	0.24	0.58	2.55	0.33	1.42	0.06	0.24	
15	**0.49**	**0.67**	**2.94**	**0.37**	**1.64**	**0.06**	**0.28**	
16								
17	**Controlled $PM_{2.5}$ Emission Rate**	**Uncontrolled PM Emission Rate**		**Uncontrolled PM_{10} Emission Rate**		**Uncontrolled $PM_{2.5}$ Emission Rate**		
18	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**	
19	1.53	12.81	56.12	9.86	43.17	1.74	7.64	
20	**1.53**	**12.81**	**56.12**	**9.86**	**43.17**	**1.74**	**7.64**	
21		7.58	33.20	4.62	20.25	1.74	7.64	Revisions needed?
22								
23	Revision to note needed since controlled conveying emissions differ from uncontrolled emissions							
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								
33								
34								
35								
36								
37								

	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
38			**Significant Source Modificaiton No.:**	**0296-35276-00005**				
39			**Significant Permit Modification No.:**	**T029-32119-00005**				
40								
41								
42	**0.0036**	**Total HAP Emissions**						
43	**lbs/ton DDG**							
44	**Methanol**							
45	**(ton/yr)**	**(lb/hr)**	**(ton/yr)**					
46								
47	0.15	0.292	1.28					
48								
49								
50								
51								
52	ions provided in PTE calculations for DDG Dryer EU-39.							
53								
54								
55								
56								
57								
58								
59								
60								
61								
62								
63								
64								
65								
66								
67								
68								
69								

	X
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	EFs: Replaced hard-entered values with calculations
19	Uncontrolled emission rates are calculated from controlled rates assuming 95% control. This control % contradicts Note (b) below.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	

	X
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	

Cell: G10
Comment: jlacker:
to help clarify - add the stack the emissions vent to
KW - done

Cell: Q14
Comment: jlacker:
permit states emissions from the drum cooler are uncontrolled?

KW - Fixed

	D	E	F
1	**Appendix B: Emissions Calculations**		
2	**EU-32 Rotary Dryer Baseline Emissions**		
3			
4	**Company Name:**	**MGPI of Indiana, LLC**	
5	**Address:**	**7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025**	
6	**nt Source Modification No.:**	**0296-35496-00005**	
7	**nt Permit Modification No.:**	**029-35505-00005**	
8	**Reviewer:**	**Kristen Willoughby**	
9	**Date:**	**12/22/2014**	

EU-32 Rotary Dryers

PM, PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$ Emissions

Constituent	Dryer Feed Rate[(a)] (ton/yr)	Controlled Emission Factor[(b)] (lb/ton)	Controlled Emissions[(c)] (ton/yr)
PM	158,894	0.27	21.45
PM10		0.27	21.45
PM2.5		0.27	21.45

Notes:

(a) Feed (wet cake) into existing steam tube dryer system is taken from facility records as the average over the 24-month period from January 2013 - December 2014.

(b) Controlled emission Factor from AP-42, Table 9.9.7-1. The emission estimation methodology used matches that provided in the IDEM Methodology:

(c) Controlled Emissions (ton/yr) = Usage (ton/yr) x EF (lb/ton) / 2,000 lb/ton
PM2.5 emissions conservatively assumed to be equal to PM10 emissions.

VOC Emissions

Dryer Feed Rate (ton/yr)	Water Content[(b)] (% by wt)	VOC Content of Water[(b)] (lb VOC/lb water)	VOC from Dryers (ton/yr)
158,894	66.66%	0.006	635.51

Notes:

(a) Feed (wet cake) into existing steam tube dryer system is taken from facility records as the average over the the 24-month period from

(b) Water content (% wt) and VOC content of water (lb VOC/lb water) taken from May 22, 2014 ATSD, Appendix A, Page 8 of 23, for permit

(c) Methodology and Sample Calculations:
VOC (ton/yr) = Dryer Feed Rate (ton/yr) x Water Content of Feed (% by wt) x (lb VOC/lb water)

	G	H	I	J
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				Resized row to fit text
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				

	D	E	F
1	**Appendix B: Emissions Calculations**		
2	**EU-32 Rotary Dryer Projected Actual Emissions**		
3			
4	**Company Name:**	**MGPI of Indiana, LLC**	
5	**Address:**	**7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025**	
6	**ce Modification No.:**	**0296-35496-00005**	
7	**nit Modification No.:**	**029-35505-00005**	
8	**Reviewer:**	**Kristen Willoughby**	
9	**Date:**	**12/22/2014**	

EU-32 Steam Tube Rotary Dryers

PM, PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$ Emissions

Constituent	Dryer Feed Rate[(a)] (ton/yr)	Controlled Emission Factor[(b)] (lb/ton)	Controlled Emissions[(c)] (ton/yr)	Uncon Emiss (tor
PM	147,000	0.27	19.8	13
PM10		0.27	19.8	13
PM2.5		0.27	19.8	13

Notes:

(a) Feed (wet cake) into existing steam tube dryer system is based on operation as back-up to the proposed direct-fired dryer.

(b) Controlled emission Factor from AP-42, Table 9.9.7-1. The emission estimation methodology used matches that provided in the IDEM c

(c) Methodology:
Controlled Emissions (ton/yr) = Usage (ton/yr) x EF (lb/ton) / 2,000 lb/ton
PM2.5 emissions conservatively assumed to be equal to PM10 emissions.

(d) Uncontrolled emissions estimated based on an 85% control efficiency for controlled emissions.
$PM_{2.5}$ emissions conservatively assumed to be equal to PM_{10} emissions.

VOC Emissions

Dryer Feed Rate (ton/hr)	Water Content[(b)] (% by wt)	VOC Content of Water[(b)] (lb VOC/lb water)	VOC from Dryers (ton/yr)
147,000	66.66%	0.006	587.9

Notes:

(a) Feed (wet cake) into existing steam tube dryer system is based on operation as back-up to the proposed direct-fired dryer.

(b) Water content (% wt) and VOC content of water (lb VOC/lb water) taken from May 22, 2014 ATSD, Appendix A, Page 8 of

(c) Methodology:
VOC (ton/yr) = Dryer Feed Rate (ton/yr) x Water Content of Feed (% by wt) x (lb VOC/lb water)

HAP Emissions

HAP	HAP%[(a)] (by wt of VOC)	HAP from Dryers (ton/yr)
Acetaldehyde	6.18%	36.3
Acrolein	0.37%	2.2
Methanol	1.24%	7.3
Formaldehyde	0.04%	0.2
Total		46.0

Notes:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
48	(a)	HAP composition taken from May 22, 2014 ATSD, Appendix A, Page 8 of 23, for permit T029-32119-00005.					

	H
11	
12	
13	
14	**trolled ions[(d)] /yr)**
15	2.3
16	2.3
17	2.3
18	
19	
20	
21	ocument
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	

	H
48	